

---

**From:** Smith, James M.  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 29, 2010 7:21 AM  
**To:** IRRC  
**Subject:** FW: Dog LAW (Act 119)

RECEIVED  
IRRC

#2785

2010 JUL 29 A 11:13

---

**From:** Marci Forst [mailto:mrcphat@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 28, 2010 5:37 PM  
**To:** gtuma@state.pa.us; stcrawford@state.pa.us; rredding@state.pa.us; dduer@state.pa.us; jsmith@state.pa.us; suewest@state.pa.us; dkennedy@state.pa.us; skniley@state.pa.us; nwyatt@state.pa.us; ashriver@state.pa.us; sbattisti@state.pa.us; scampbell@state.pa.us; lsimmens@state.pa.us; ckopp@state.pa.us; Smith, James M.  
**Subject:** Dog LAW (Act 119)

Dear Legislators,

I capitalized "LAW" on the subject line to remind you that a Dog Law was passed in 2008. In the two years since this law was passed, you have been catering to the commercial breeders by letting them dictate how this law should be enforced. Their biggest complaint has been about wire flooring.

As someone who has rescued a dog from these horrible conditions (puppy mill), I know first-hand the problems created by wire flooring—splayed toes, repeated infections of the feet, fear of having the feet touched, I could go on. My dog was a breeder for six, long years and I was only able to rescue her because she was about to be shot.

These types of breeders only care about profits, not the suffering animals. These animals and I thought their conditions were going to improve with the passing of this law. How wrong we were. I wrote my congressmen and senators to get the original law passed and I am urging you to not bow to the breeders' pressures and vote your conscience on these amendments that are being discussed.

Thank you for your time.

Marci Forst,  
PA Voter & Animal Guardian